

Remarks

Examiner indicates that in the remarks filed by Applicants on March 28, 2006 that Applicants resubmitted a true and accurate application copy; however, such copy has not been received and recorded for consideration. Applicants are unsure as to why such copy has not been received by the USPTO, as Applicants believes a copy was submitted by fax with the remarks on March 28, 2006. However, if it is an error on Applicants' part and Applicants did not submit such copy with the remarks as filed on March 28, 2006, such an omission was unintentional.

Applicants are resubmitting the response to the Final Office Action mailed on February 14, 2006, and the original specification as filed on July 19, 2002, and certify that it is a true and accurate copy of the application as originally filed. Applicants, respectfully request reconsideration of the Specification. Applicants, further request that the Final Office Action of February 14, 2006 be made non-final as the basis of the rejection is due to a typographical error in the reproduction of Applicants' Specification at the USPTO and not due to Applicants' error or doing.

Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the pending application is in condition for allowance and that the application may be passed to issuance.

The Examiner is urged to call the undersigned at the number listed below if, in the Examiner's opinion, such a phone conference would aid in furthering the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

For: Gary Ditlow, et al.

By: 

Ryan K. Simmons

Registration No. 45,848

Telephone No.: (802) 769-1809

Fax No.: (802) 769-8938

EMAIL: rksimmon@us.ibm.com

International Business Machines Corporation
Intellectual Property Law - Mail 972E
1000 River Road
Essex Junction, VT 05452